"We believe the bold dissenting opinions of Justices Douglas and Black will be reverently remembered long after the tortured phrases of Chief Justice Vinkon, and the uneasy, agonized concurrence of Justices Frankfurter and Jackson have been repudiated and forgotten.

"To justify the decision Chied Justice Vinson was compelled to write his own perversion of the 'clear and present danger' concept. The Communist conspiracy, he said, has EXERKENXXEXEXENTED 'created a "clear and present danger" of an attempt to overthrow the government by force and violence.

"The weasel words are unworthy of a chief justice. He does not seriously contend that Communist propaganda has created the peril of an imminent uprising in the United States. No decision could be less American in spirit than that of the court majority---now the court has given its blessing to heresy-hunting. Henceforth men's minds may be searched for a 'intent' and for daydreams. Never was it more vital for Americans to speak up against repression."

And here is what the editor of the S t. Louis Post-Dispatch had to say of the decision: "There is no greater right in all the world than the right to hold free opinions and to express them without fear of reprisal by those in authority. Chief Justice Vinson, speaking for himself and Justices Reed, Brankfurter, Burton and Minton, leads the gravest departure from the guarantee of freedom of speech in our history.

"These six justices say that the Communists, by 'organizing to eeach and advocate the overthrow of the government of the United States by force and violence' created a 'clear and present danger' of an attempt to overthrow the government by force and violence.

"They cite no overt acts of force. They present no record of violence. They find no danger both clear and present through teaching and advocacy alone. Never before has such a restriction been

placed on the right to hold opinions and to express them in the USA.

Six men have emended the U.S. Constitution without submitting their
amendment to the states for ratification. That is the nub of this
decision."

Now why have these two great newspapers, each of them representing millions of dollars of invested capital. come out so quickly with such Do they scarify the Court of abstract justice? they condemn the Court because they are fond of the eleven convicted Neither reason holds. leaders of the Communist Party? No. attack the court because they must! They attack the decision because the decision attacks them! They make common cause with the eleven Communist leaders willy because they are all under attack by the common enemy. attack is not and never has been against the Communist party: the attack is upon free speech, upon the right to communicate. upon the Constitution and the hundred and sixty million people that Constitution formerly protected. The attack is upon America.

In concluding his dissenting opinion, Justice Black said?

"Public opinion being what it now is, few will protest the conviction of these Communist petitioners. There is hope, however, that in calmer times, when present pressures, passions and fears subside, this or some later court will restore the First Amendment liberties to the highpitage place where they belong in a free society."

Now this is a justice of the Supreme Court speaking ---a wery great and honorable justice of that court---and I this court curious significance in his words. Obviously he deplores the "pressures, passions and fears" which have destroyed the 1 liberties of the First Amendment. And when he states that Create that Creat

"public opinion being what it now is, few will protest"

clearly implies that he regrets this lack of protest. And if he regrets this lack of protest, then surely he winter protest to be agood thing a nd wishes there would be much of it. I believe Justice Black has called upon the people to protect a decision of their own Supreme Court—to assert their rights as citizens protect to before all second is suitabled. These rights are distinguish.

And there is another justice of the Supreme Court, Justice Jackson of the majority, who Expendistrate that the whole people bear some responsibility for the decisions of their court. It was some time ago, when Mr. Jackson's loyalty to the Sensitution was greater than his fear of truth, that he wrote a dissenting opinion in the Murdock case, in which he declared civil liberties had their origin and must find their ultimate guarantee in the faith of the people. If that faith should be lost, five or nine men in Washington could not long supply the want."

the Supreme C ourt is not sancrosanct; that it derives in spower from the whole people, and that the people have not only the right but the obligation in times of national crisis to correct that the court and if necessary, to impeach it.

It would be folly—"terrible folly—to underestimate the grave extinctions constitutional crisis into which this decision has thrown our country. We stand tonight on the threshold of Fascism. The Department of Justice openly plans the remaining mass arrests, not by the hundreds or the thousands that but by the tens of thousands. The Congress, the President and the Court standards and have lost confidence not only in themselves, but in the people whose servants they are. They see no solution to the problems which they themselves have

produced, save in repression, save in destruction of the people's

That right of communication is the crux of the case against the convicted leaders of the Communist Party. It is the crux of the case against all men and women and institutions which depend upon communication to form the truth. Beyond everything else, we must keep communication free. We must find the means and develop the channels to speak to each other. That is my problem as a writer. But it is also your problem as citizens.

T am a writer who sprang from the working class and who has 2017 dawns the working class, sometimes a little behind, sometimes abreast of it, through many struggles, through many officially times other think I speak for many progressive writers when I sav that we propose to continue to write and to speak the truth as it is given to us to understand it. Already one medium of expression has been denied as they and other media areastasticy have begun their slow withdrawal. For whom, then, shall we write in the future? We must write for you. We must speak for you. And you must speak for us. We must come closer together in this new struggle to keep open the channels of communication -- - you who are the people and we who are the peoples' writers. We shall give our very best to you, but you also must give your You must help us. You must guide us. Only if we best to us. are yeary closely together, only of we constantly learn from each other. can the people and the people's writers maintain that freedom of communication without which they both sink into despair and degradation. That is why I am here tonight --- to offer help and to ask for help in for the life of America.

The

If we work together, and if we join our honest efforts with all others who have so suddenly been placed in jeopardy, we shall win. stall marke dammed up or american stream @ liberty by the judicial opinion of six frightened men. Sto charmel is the dup, its current is the swife.

It was the might food from the Mayflower Compact to the Declaration of Independence: from the Bill of Rights to Lincoln's First Inaugural: from the Emancipation Proclamation to the war-time charter of No this liberty which has been ex the Four Freedoms. the cultures all the peoples of the world. 15 too decal contraction It cannot at lao Clasingxinxbaxdrindxun decoly rocked diverted non We will not permit it to the directed. mantain

The struggle to preserve it will not be an easy. It will be attended both by tragedies and fictories. But the final tragedy will be the tragedies and fictories are for Fascism, and the final victory will be the people the people the united people the final tragedy for fascism, and the final victory will be the final the people the united people the final fin

Let us, then, as we engage in this the battle, not forget to lift our eyes occasionally the inevitable future—

therefore the inevitable future—

therefore the inevitable future—

in which the American people shall have peace; a future in which they shall work as they wish, organize as they wish, speak as they wish, write as they wish and think as they wish without fear of the vigilante, the lynch mob, the secret police or the political prison; to a future in which this government of the people, by the people and for the people shall have been saved from destruction through the struggles of the people.

That future will come. It will come in our time---in this America---under our Constitution. And when we are in the midst of it